Explanations, clarifications and the peace proposal – NRK, TV2, Aftenposten, VG and Dagbladet (<<the big five>>) are refusing to consider

The ideology known as <<nordicism>> has two wings; nordic superiority (NS) and nordic survival (indigenous rights activism). Being a nordicist of the latter category, my primary purpose is to contribute to secure the survival and prosperity of the nordic ethnic family (the nordic race). National socialists and hitlerists refer to the west-nordic people as the <<aryan race>>. There are three european races; nordic (physical characteristics: blonde/blue eyed/longer forehead/etc.), alpine (half nordic/half mediterranean – f. example the french) and mediterranean (f. example most people in Portugal, Spain, Italy, Greece etc.). <<Meds>> are aprox. 20 percent nordic and 80 percent asiatic.

The essence of nordicism isn't to exclude or discriminate but to strive for the pure nordic ideal. Wiki Madison Grant for more info. Whenever a northern-european nationalist is talking about the genocide, deconstruction or ethnic cleansing of his people, he is talking about the following (illustration box):

Overview: The estimated time until extinction for the nordic ethnic family if our survival is not secured: ethnic composition 13 nordic countries in percent. Nordic vs. non-nordic. Overview of the 13 largest nordic countries where nordics have status as an indigenous people and until recently made out the majority: Germany, England, the Netherlands, Sweden, Denmark, Austria, Norway, Scotland, Ireland, Wales, Finland, Iceland and Luxembourg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>2,9</td>
<td>&gt;0,1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nordic (1) = purity: 60-95%
Nordic (2) = purity: <95%+

The estimated time until extinction for the nordic race occurs some time between 2050 and 2100. Presupposes today's non-nordic immigration of 1% per year, today's fertility rate, and that nordics are not granted autonomous indigenous states. The biggest threat is neither african nor asian immigration, but in fact inter-EEC immigration from members from the mediterranean ethnic family and from the alpine.

When dealing with media-psychopaths

And this is where it gets problematic. The <<big five>> and liberal media in general have over the last 68 years developed multiple supression-tactics for <<handling>> nordicists and other nationalists. Propaganda, or the use of false or exaggerated information for the support of a view or case, has been used for thousands of years to supress political opposition, and unfortunately its quite effective.

All research, illustrated by a report published in <<Scientific American Mind>>, Jan/Feb. 2013, shows that the professions; "Media (TV/Radio)" and "Journalists" top the list over the most psychopathic professions, as they are among the most notorious manipulators, liars and deceivers. When a journalist in addition is a leftist, who is tasked with making an <<objective>> characteristic of a <<right wing extremist>>,
you know that the result will be everything but credible.

The editors of Norways <<big five>> are even more leftist than their colleagues in other countries, with exceptions being Sweden and Germany. Most, if not all, of the influential editors are disciples of the 68-revolutions ideals. F. example the chief editor in Aftenposten, Hauagjerd, is a former member of AKP (Workers Communist Party), which used to make <<kill-lists>> of right wing politicians, and several of Dagbladets editors are self-proclaimed communists. Unfortunately, Norwegian and northern-european editors are such people. The most influential editors in Norway, f. example Stangelle, Giever, Strand, several in NRK etc., are so influential that they, a small group of no more than 20 editors, literally define reality, in Norway. The editors in NRK, Aftenposten and VG are referred to as <<the Oslo mafia>> by smaller media companies, and are known for their consensus-decisions and left wing orientation. The northern-european medias zero-tolerance stance towards nationalists the last 68 years has been our greatest problem.

Although the british cultural elite admitted in 2008/09 that nordic brits are in fact indigenous to the UK, their norwegian colleagues are still refusing to acknowledge the fact that nordic-norwegians are indigenous to Norway. These 20 editors are simply refusing to discuss the issue, and if you try to stress the issue you will be labeled as a Nazi and held accountable for the jewish holocaust etc, an effective supression-tactic.

22/7 was an attempt to force these 20 editors, and their colleagues in the other 12 nordic countries into dialogue with their country's nordicist-movements (you call them Nazi-movements). The compendium was an experimental approach. I wanted to try a different approach by making it impossible for the MSM in all 13 nordic countries to end the discussion, using the Nazi-tactic. I was obviously naive enough to think that this approach would lead to a scenario where there could be a serious discussion about the MSMs 68-year witch-hunt and about securing nordic survival.

If I could say anything to the editors in NRK, TV2, Aftenposten, VG, Dagbladet, and their northern-european colleagues, it would be; can we please just skip all the false propaganda and B.S. and focus on solving these issues through dialogue. You can start by announcing a formal apology and declare that the norids are indeed indigenous to all 13 nordic countries. Afterwards, you can accept the invitation to dialogue with the nordicist-movements. Lets start discussing <<Project Yggdrasil>>. My book; <<The Nordic Federation>> describes this peace-plan through 400 pages, but it has been blocked by norwegian prison authorities since 11.07.13. Its also important to note that <<Project Yggdrasil>> was developed after 22/7 and has nothing to do with the compendium. Securing the survival of our nordic race is an issue of utmost urgency. Consider this a formal invitation to reconciliation-talks, talks which should have taken place in 1945. I would have been able to contact the nordicist-leaders in all 13 countries, if it weren't for the letter ban. Whatever you choose, know that you, the editors, have the influence to decide wether this conflict will end. Be smart, choose dialogue in stead of persecution, for the first time in 68 years.

The reason I chose another <<sales narrative>> in the compendium was among other things to prevent them from immediately ending the ideological discussion with their <<6-million-omg-nazi-enough-said>>- bashing stick. I know a lot of people will be dissapointed when reading this, but my love for Israel is limited to its future function as a deportation-port for disloyal jews. I am aware of the sad fact that all available statistics confirm that only aprox. three percent of eurojews oppose multiculti (but from an anti-islamist perspective), and
that only aprox. 0.2 percent support nordic indigenous rights. I wish it wasn't so. The reason why so called <<counter-jihadists>>, at least the great majority, seemingly <<praise>> Israel, is to avoid the above described supression-tactic. However, there is in fact a strong anti-nordicist/ethnocentrist wing within the counter-jihad movement, represented by Fjordman and his jewish network, the EDL-leader, the STOE-leaders, Wilders, Farage etc., but their organisations are so heavily infiltrated by nordicists and ethnocentrists that its hard to say which wing are actually controlling them.

When dealing with media psychopaths, a good way to counter their tactics is to use double-psychology, or at least so I thought. The compendium was, among other things, of a calculated and quite cynical <<gateway-design>> (the 2+?=6-approach), created to strengthen the ethnocentrist wing in the contra-jihad movement, by pinning the whole thing on the anti-ethnocentrist wing (many of the leaders are pro-multiculti social democrats or liberalists), while at the same time protecting and strengthening the ethnocentrist-factions. The idea was to manipulate the MSM and others so that they would launch a witch-hunt and send their <<media-rape-squads>> against our opponents. It worked quite well.

I was never kicked out of Stormfront. In stead, I attacked them in the compendium in order to protect them, as I knew the authorities would use the fact that I frequented the site, against them, and that an army of leftist journalists otherwise would strike hard. I tried to hint about this double-psychology, by quoting <<war is deceit>> x number of times, but I couldn't make it more obvious, as it had to be credible to the aggressive army of 2000 media psychopaths (the MSM-rape-squad). The <<hug-your-opponents, kick-the-ones-you-love>>-tactic is one of the oldest in the book. The infiltration of the Freemasons, followed by the publication of the Freemason-pics, was in fact a deliberate and calculated attack against them.

Obviously, none of the above would have worked if it wasn't credible. When I tried to publish three essays describing all of this, on 20.08.12, I was notified about the <<gag-directive>> which came into effect 08.08.12. Apparently, many people didn't comprehend my deliberate usage of double-psychology, and this is my own fault. In any case, the Fjordman-network figured it out quite early, which explain why they have attacked me so viciously. It wasn't my intention to cause the outing of Fjordman, with subsequently he being brutally media-rape by 200 MSM-psychopaths. But on the other hand, ethnocentrism gained momentum at the same time as I managed to prevent a significant crackdown against the european and US nordicist movement.

There has been an active power-struggle between the two factions within the contra-jihadist movement for years, and the reason why its so critically important to dominate and influence this movement is because it acts as a <<supplier of terms>> to moderate european nationalist parties with a base consisting of tens of millions of europeans. The battle within the <<counter-jihad>>-movement is in many ways a battle for the future content of northern-european nationalism. This makes it even more ironic that many nordicists and ethnocentric nationalists, Stormfront included, still dont know that I systematically used double-psychology in order to protect them, and in an attempt to prevent the multiculti MSM from using their <<I-win-button>>.

Did I serve the nordicist cause more effectivly by using this tactic, rather than using rhetoric which would immediately allow them to label me as a nazi? I believe so, but other than me should be the final judge of that.

When dealing with an angry mob of influential journalists and editors representing the worlds most advanced propaganda machinery, you're fundamentally screwed no matter how you choose
to manoeuvre. Propagandists will not waste a single second on people they do not view as threats. I could have easily avoided excessive pathologisation by keeping the message short and by clinging to the already established ideological cliff of national socialism (it's important to remember that this was at a time when all right wing radicals were labeled as nazis), but if they had been allowed to label me as a nazi, the ideological considerations and discussions would be over, and my court-speeches and propaganda performance would never be broadcasted world wide, during the trial. Furthermore, people would not be forced to seek answers in the compendium along the way. Regardless of their efforts, I felt I managed to make the best out of an almost impossible situation, despite of the fact that I made a few mistakes during the process.

As for my efforts to try to force the editors and ruling politicians in each of the 13 nordic countries into dialogue with the nordicist-movements, I failed miserably, not surprisingly. It is after all a theoretical impossibility that a single soldier could manage to succeed where potent movements have failed for 68 years. But everyone should know that 22/7 happened in order to try to force a dialogue between the chief editors in the <<big five>> in all 13 nordic countries, and the so called <<fascist movements>> in the corresponding countries.

I remember there was at least one journalist during the trial touching the core of this issue, as he stated; <<The only thing that could prevent the extinction of nordics are racial hygiene-programmes on a full scale, something which is impossible in todays multiethnic and multicultural societies. Therefore, it is pointless to enter into dialogue with "these people". We simply cant co-exist with them>>. First of all, we are of course fully aware of the fact that you feel you have no other choice than to supress us, due to this reason. And you have been brutal the past 68 years. This harsh supression and persecution has driven thousands of nationalists in northern-europe to suicide, something which explains why these editors dont like to admit to being responsible for these acts. However, if only more than one out of 5000 nordic journalists could be this honest, 22/7 and aprox. 500 annual nationalist and <<racist>> attacks could be avoided in the future.

Of course we understand that full scale racial hygiene-programmes are difficult in todays societies. But if they had just stopped their bigotry for one second and listened to what we have to say, they would have learned that we can co-exist. First of all, one of the reasons the first- and second-generation nordicist leaders have failed with entering into dialogue, is because of their <<all-or-nothing>>-strategy. From a "third-generation" point of view, considering that we lost the european civil war (WW2), the <<all-or-nothing>>-approach has been a complete failure, and continuing this path is counter-productive, irresponsible and may lead to extinction of the nordic race. Changing this to an <<all-or-something>>-approach is the only way to go. One bird in the hand is better than ten on the roof. <<Project Yggdrasil>> is a very good solution and a realistic compromise.

I believe I will be able to sell in PY to the vast majority of nordicist-leaders in all 13 nordic countries, as soon as you lift the letter ban. The message I would send to the chief editors in NRK, TV2, Aftenposten, VG and Dagbladet, is; I ask that you support <<project yggdrasil>> in Norway, contributing to a situation where we are allowed to secure nordic-norwegian survival in <<Norway-Yggdrasil>>, a norwegian-nordic indigenous state located in Østfold, consisting of two percent of the norwegian territory. Its also a very small price to pay in order to end a 68 year old conflict. If an agreement is reached you will be free to transform 98 percent of Norway into your multiethnict and
multicultural utopia, without the risk of facing the alternative. This model would succeed in northern-Europe, just as it would succeed on a purely political level - securing peace between "islamo-fascists" on one side, and liberals/social democrats on the other, in countries such as Egypt and Pakistan.

I'm quite sure that project yggdrasil in Norway, and in the other 12 nordic countries, would solve a conflict which has been unsolved during the last 68 years. I ask that I'm allowed to present this peace plan to the leading nordicist voices in Norway; Tore Tvædt, Varg Vikernes, Erik Blucher, Nicolay Kvisler and others (no need to target them, as they are all non-militant political activists). Securing nordic survival is the most important cause for all of us, and I believe they will be willing to abandon their "all-or-nothing"-approach, for the sake of a small but sovereign indigenous state.

If my assumption is correct, then a peace agreement can be reached within a year, if the chief editors in NRK, TV2, Aftenposten, VG and Dagbladet decide to support the plan, and the same could be a reality for the rest of northern-Europe within two years, if movements in these countries follow.

The forming of a parliamentary basis for the founding of this autonomous norwegian-nordic indigenous state in south-west Østfold will only be possible with the backing of the editors in these five companies, as they define the political agenda in Norway. Not a single parliament member in Norway will ever dare to back project yggdrasil without the blessing from the editors in these companies.

If these editors continue to refuse to have anything to do with me, the above mentioned individuals are all capable. They are the unofficial leaders of the norwegian nordicist movement, through example, personal sacrifice and continued effort, whether they like it or not. When the norwegian MSM announced that Fjordman was my role model and idol, they couldn't be more wrong. These four individuals, on the other hand, are all worthy of trust, respect and praise, due to their past and continued efforts. Their advice and recommendations should be listened to by all norwegian nationalists. Furthermore, the candidates they support can be trusted. I hope they soon will contribute to take responsibility by uniting the norwegian nationalist movement by forming an equivalent entity to that of the Swedes Party. The reason I'm saying all of this is because its likely Ill soon be "out of the game", as I wont be able to survive the SNS-programme for much longer.

Unfortunately but not surprisingly, the response from editors to the mentioned information will either be total silence or even more ridicule and pathologisation. After this letter Ill probably have +2 conditions and +3 personality disorders, or perhaps the imaginary "bad childhood" will end up being worse. But before these editors reject "Project Yggdrasil", and of course they will; they should ask themselves; are you 100 percent sure that dialogue and reconciliation-attempts isn't the way forward?

In any case, their limitless bigotry and denial, until now, has at least been quite amusing.